

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/633,807	08/04/2003	Ervin Wagner	8894.01-1	7226
7590 06/07/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert L. Judd			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
Taglia, Fette, Dumke & White P.C. 720 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Joseph, MI 49085			3711	
		DATE MAILED: 06/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,807	WAGNER, ERVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raleigh Chiu	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	larch 2005.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-9 and 21-50 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 10-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 18-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	·					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/04/2003</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	·				

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of species "a" (Figures 1-15) in the reply filed on 02 March 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 5-9 and 21-50 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species/invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 02 March 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 10-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 5,709,620 (Reinprecht) and applicant's admission of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 1, 2, 4, 10-12 and 14-17, Figure 1 of Reinprecht shows a typical tennis training session with an instructor on one side of the net and the students on the other See column 2, lines 41 et seq. A typical training side. session often has the instructor sequentially project a plurality of game balls toward the student. See instant specification, paragraph [0001]. It is noted that Reinprecht teaches the concept of placing targets at specific locations on the tennis court at which the students aim; striking balls to such locations (i.e., the corners as shown in Figure 1 of Reinprecht), are those designed to be shots away or out of reach by an opponent. Moreover, it is old and well-known in the art for team coaches to place people on the court (tennis, basketball, football, etc.) to simulate how a particular point or play is supposed to develop. As Reinprecht introduces the concept of striking particular targets on the court to practice particular shots (down-the-line, crosscourt, etc.), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place a person at a location where a typical player might stand during that shot to better simulate actual game situations. persons placed at these locations can be considered simulated opponents for practice purposes.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claims 3 and 13, tennis ball machines are old and well-known in the art to sequentially project balls to players.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif 12 May 2005